UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,757	06/30/2006	Johan Georg Pettersson	PETT3004/JJC/PMB 4404	
23364 BACON & THO	7590 08/17/201 OMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
625 SLATERS	LANE	STIMPERT, PHILIP EARL		
FOURTH FLO ALEXANDRIA	or a, VA 22314-1176	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/55	1,757	PETTERSSON, J	PETTERSSON, JOHAN GEORG			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		·	Stimpert	3746				
7 Period for F	the MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR TENENT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MARK STATE OF THE MARK ST	ALLING DATE OF f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no inication. utory period will apply ar vill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION of event, however, may a reply be to divid will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed	l on <i>03 June 201</i>	0.					
•	•	b)⊠ This action i						
3) <u></u> Sii	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ Cl	aim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-13</u> is/are pending	in the application						
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Cl	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-11 and 13</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Cl	aim(s) <u>2,3,12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) <u></u> Cl	aim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□ Cl	aim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or electio	n requirement.					
Application	Papers							
9)□ The	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
, —			ccepted or b) objecte	d to by the Examir	ner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acl	knowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
		<b>.</b>		, , , , , ,				
 1.[	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.[	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.[	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	o(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/551,757 Page 2

Art Unit: 3746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2, 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding claim 2, the claim recites both "at least one given control order" and "which given control orders." Since the second recitation is plural, it excludes the possible singularity of the first recitation. Thus the same limitation is given different scope within the same claim, causing confusion as to the actual intended scope.
- 4. Regarding claim 3, the claim appears to be drafted in reverse from the specification, i.e. page 10 lines 8-14. In particular, the claim appears to require the control system to move the pressure **away** from the setpoint, rather than toward it. Per telephonic conversation with the applicant's representative, the following paragraph (page 10, lines 16-24) which provides basis for the language of claim 3 appears to have been mistranslated, as it contradicts the previous paragraph, and the reasonable expectations of one of ordinary skill in the art. This contradiction renders the claim indefinite.
- 5. Regarding claim 12, the claim is drafted as an apparatus claim to a control box for adjusting the compressed air installation of claim 1. However, given that new structure, i.e. the connections and arithmetic unit, is recited, it is unclear whether or not

the scope of claim 12 is limited by the entirety of the method of claim 1. In particular, no reference is made to the pressure setting limitations, while selection of the control order with the highest score is recited. The lack of clarity regarding the inclusion or exclusion of the limitations of claim 1 renders the claim indefinite. Given this indefiniteness, the examiner is interpreting the claim as standing on its own except for the elements of claim 1 which are specifically mentioned.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 4,502,842 to Currier et al. (Currier).
- 8. Currier teaches a control box (10) having connections to control units (R) and a pressure sensor (14), a memory (42) arranged to store an evaluation table with scores (for instance the loading order of col. 15, In. 25-46) inputted by a user, and an arithmetic unit (18) with an algorithm (see col. 15, In. 25-46) which enables comparison between the scores to provide a control order (start/stop or load/unload a compressor) as a function of the highest selected score. Thus provided, control box of Currier is capable of storing the given scores of claim 1 and evaluating them as claimed, and the method of claim 1 does not patentably distinguish structure of claim 12 over Currier. See MPEP 2114.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,757 Page 4

Art Unit: 3746

## Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1, 5-11, and 13 are allowable over the art of record.

10. Claims 2 and 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the evaluation table containing various control order scores is not shown in the prior art of record.

# Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 3 June 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

13. The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections of claims 1, 5-11, and 13 are withdrawn.

14. However, regarding claim 3, as noted above, the claim still appears to contradict what would be expected by one of ordinary skill in the art, and the specification does not provide sufficient guidance to overcome the fault.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Stimpert whose telephone number is (571)270-1890. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30AM-4:00PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,757 Page 5

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746

/P. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3746 13 August 2010